



BLOCKHAT
SECURITY

MindX

Smart Contract Security Audit

Prepared by BlockHat

April 10th, 2024 - April 14th, 2024

BlockHat.io

contact@blockhat.io

Document Properties

Client	mindx
Version	1.0
Classification	Private

Scope

The MindX Contract in the MindX Repository

Link	Address
-	-

Contacts

COMPANY	CONTACT
BlockHat	contact@blockhat.io

Contents

- 1 Introduction 4
 - 1.1 About MindX 4
 - 1.2 Approach & Methodology 4
 - 1.2.1 Risk Methodology 5

- 2 Findings Overview 6
 - 2.1 Summary 6
 - 2.2 Key Findings 6

- 3 Finding Details 7
 - A MindX.sol 7
 - A.1 Potential Locking of ETH in Contract [CRITICAL] 7
 - A.2 Bot Detection Mechanism [HIGH] 8
 - A.3 Taxation Calculation on Bot Transactions [HIGH] 9
 - A.4 Incomplete Bot Detection Condition [HIGH] 9
 - A.5 Centralization of Critical Functional Controls [MEDIUM] 10
 - A.6 Function Naming Clarity for enableTrading [MEDIUM] 12
 - A.7 Error Handling in taxChange Function [MEDIUM] 13
 - A.8 Optimizing Share Distribution Calculations [LOW] 14
 - A.9 Mint vs Transfer for Initial Distribution [LOW] 15
 - A.10 Improving Code Readability in Token Minting [INFORMATIONAL] 16
 - A.11 Utilization of Total Supply Variable [INFORMATIONAL] 17
 - A.12 Naming Clarity [INFORMATIONAL] 18
 - A.13 Redundant Timestamp Assignment [INFORMATIONAL] 18

- 4 Best Practices 20
 - BP.1 Improving Numeric Constants Representation 20
 - BP.2 Unnecessary Initialization of Taxation Variable 20

- 5 Static Analysis (Slither) 21

- 6 Conclusion 34

1 Introduction

mindx engaged BlockHat to conduct a security assessment on the MindX beginning on April 10th, 2024 and ending April 14th, 2024. In this report, we detail our methodical approach to evaluate potential security issues associated with the implementation of smart contracts, by exposing possible semantic discrepancies between the smart contract code and design document, and by recommending additional ideas to optimize the existing code. Our findings indicate that the current version of smart contracts can still be enhanced further due to the presence of many security and performance concerns.

This document summarizes the findings of our audit.

1.1 About MindX

Issuer	mindx
Website	https://mindx.bot/
Type	Solidity Smart Contract
Audit Method	Whitebox

1.2 Approach & Methodology

BlockHat used a combination of manual and automated security testing to achieve a balance between efficiency, timeliness, practicability, and correctness within the audit's scope. While manual testing is advised for identifying problems in logic, procedure, and implementation, automated testing techniques help to expand the coverage of smart contracts and can quickly detect code that does not comply with security best practices.

1.2.1 Risk Methodology

Vulnerabilities or bugs identified by BlockHat are ranked using a risk assessment technique that considers both the LIKELIHOOD and IMPACT of a security incident. This framework is effective at conveying the features and consequences of technological vulnerabilities.

Its quantitative paradigm enables repeatable and precise measurement, while also revealing the underlying susceptibility characteristics that were used to calculate the Risk scores. A risk level will be assigned to each vulnerability on a scale of 5 to 1, with 5 indicating the greatest possibility or impact.

- Likelihood quantifies the probability of a certain vulnerability being discovered and exploited in the untamed.
- Impact quantifies the technical and economic costs of a successful attack.
- Severity indicates the risk's overall criticality.

Probability and impact are classified into three categories: H, M, and L, which correspond to high, medium, and low, respectively. Severity is determined by probability and impact and is categorized into four levels, namely Critical, High, Medium, and Low.

Impact	High	Critical	High	Medium
	Medium	High	Medium	Low
	Low	Medium	Low	Low
		High	Medium	Low
		Likelihood		

2 Findings Overview

2.1 Summary

The following is a synopsis of our conclusions from our analysis of the MindX implementation. During the first part of our audit, we examine the smart contract source code and run the codebase via a static code analyzer. The objective here is to find known coding problems statically and then manually check (reject or confirm) issues highlighted by the tool. Additionally, we check business logics, system processes, and DeFi-related components manually to identify potential hazards and/or defects.

2.2 Key Findings

In general, these smart contracts are well-designed and constructed, but their implementation might be improved by addressing the discovered flaws, which include **1** critical-severity, **3** high-severity, **3** medium-severity, **2** low-severity, **4** informational-severity vulnerabilities.

Vulnerabilities	Severity	Status
Potential Locking of ETH in Contract	CRITICAL	Not fixed
Bot Detection Mechanism	HIGH	Not fixed
Taxation Calculation on Bot Transactions	HIGH	Not fixed
Incomplete Bot Detection Condition	HIGH	Not fixed
Centralization of Critical Functional Controls	MEDIUM	Not fixed
Function Naming Clarity for enableTrading	MEDIUM	Not fixed
Error Handling in taxChange Function	MEDIUM	Not fixed
Optimizing Share Distribution Calculations	LOW	Not fixed
Mint vs Transfer for Initial Distribution	LOW	Not fixed
Improving Code Readability in Token Minting	INFORMATIONAL	Not fixed
Utilization of Total Supply Variable	INFORMATIONAL	Not fixed
Naming Clarity	INFORMATIONAL	Not fixed
Redundant Timestamp Assignment	INFORMATIONAL	Not fixed

3 Finding Details

A MindX.sol

A.1 Potential Locking of ETH in Contract [CRITICAL]

Description:

The concern is that some amount of ETH could remain in the contract after the execution of `swapAndReward`, potentially due to rounding errors or inefficiencies in the swap function.

Listing 1: Mindx.sol

```
748     function swapAndReward(uint256 contractTokenBalance) private
        ↪ lockTheSwap {
749         // split the contract balance into halves
750         uint256 half = contractTokenBalance / 2;

752         uint256 otherHalf = contractTokenBalance - half;

754         uint256 initialBalance = address(this).balance;

756         swapTokensForEth(half);

758         uint256 newBalance = address(this).balance - initialBalance;

760         // Send ETH amount of newBalance to TreasuryOwner
761         payable(TreasuryOwner).transfer(newBalance);
762         uint _owner_share = (otherHalf / 100) * OwnerShare;
763         uint _revenue_share = otherHalf - _owner_share;
764         super._transfer(address(this), TreasuryRevenue, _revenue_share);
765         super._transfer(address(this), TreasuryOwner, _owner_share);

767     }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood – 5

Impact – 5

Recommendation:

We recommend adding a withdraw BNB function.

Status – Not fixed

A.2 Bot Detection Mechanism [HIGH]

Description:

The bot detection mechanism flags addresses based solely on the transaction being in the same block, potentially leading to false positives. Additionally, the mechanism only handles bot marking on the buying side, ignoring the selling cases.

Listing 2: Mindx.sol

```
730         if( isBot[from] ) {  
731             super._transfer(from, TreasuryOwner, (amount / 100) *  
                ↪ initial_tax);  
732         }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood – 4

Impact – 4

Recommendation:

Redesign the bot detection logic to include both buying and selling activities. Introduce a more robust set of criteria for bot detection to reduce false positives, potentially including a combination of transaction frequency, amount, and behavior over time.

Status - Not fixed

A.3 Taxation Calculation on Bot Transactions [HIGH]

Description:

If an address is flagged as a bot, the function still proceeds to calculate and deduct taxes after already transferring an initial tax, potentially resulting in incorrect final transfer amounts.

Listing 3: Mindx.sol

```
743         super._transfer(from, to, amount - Taxation);
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 3

Impact - 3

Recommendation:

Ensure that once an address is flagged as a bot and penalized, further taxation calculations are adjusted accordingly or halted to prevent double taxation or incorrect token transfers.

Status - Not fixed

A.4 Incomplete Bot Detection Condition [HIGH]

Description:

The bot detection mechanism in the `_transfer` function potentially fails to accurately identify bots when `from` is a pair address. This specific condition appears to be unhandled, leading to possible scenarios where bots could manipulate the system by avoiding detection.

Listing 4: Mindx.sol

```
723         if(!_isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount[to]){  
724             isBot[from] = true;  
725         }
```

```
726         isBot[to] = true;
727     }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 4

Impact - 5

Recommendation:

Implement comprehensive bot detection logic that includes checks for both from and to addresses in relation to pair addresses.

Status - Not fixed

A.5 Centralization of Critical Functional Controls [MEDIUM]

Description:

The Mindx smart contract grants the owner unilateral control over several critical functions including enabling/disabling trading, managing automated market maker pairs, exclusion lists, and token burning. This concentration of power poses significant risks:

- **Trading Control:** The owner can toggle trading, potentially manipulating the market.
- **AMM and Exclusion List Management:** The owner can selectively manage which addresses are exempt from transaction rules or are considered automated market makers, potentially leading to unfair advantages and liquidity issues.
- **Token Burning:** The owner's ability to burn tokens at will can unpredictably affect the token supply and its market dynamics.

Listing 5: Mindx.sol

```
641     function enableTrading(bool _status) external onlyOwner {
643         tradingEnabled = _status;
```

```
644     emit enable_trading(_status);
645 }
```

Listing 6: Mindx.sol

```
785     function adding_isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount(address _a) public
        ↪ onlyOwner{
786         _isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount[_a] = true;
787         initial_inject_timestamp = block.timestamp;
788         tradingEnabled = true;

790         emit adding_isExcluded(_a);
791     }

793     function removing_isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount(address _a) public
        ↪ onlyOwner{
794         delete _isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount[_a];
795         emit removing_isExcluded(_a);
796     }

798     function adding_automatedMarketMakerPairs(address _a) public
        ↪ onlyOwner {
799         _automatedMarketMaker[_a] = true;
800         emit adding_automated(_a);
801     }

803     function removing_automatedMarketMakerPairs(address _a) public
        ↪ onlyOwner{
804         delete _automatedMarketMaker[_a];
805         emit removing_automated(_a);
806     }
```

Listing 7: Mindx.sol

```
812     function BurnDevToken(uint256 amount) public onlyOwner {
813         _burn(owner(), amount);
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood – 4

Impact – 5

Recommendation:

- **Decentralized Governance Implementation:** Transition these controls to a decentralized governance framework, such as through a DAO or a committee system using multisig wallets.
- **Introduction of Timelocks:** Implement timelocks for critical actions like toggling trading or modifying smart contract parameters.
- **Transparent and Rule-Based Criteria:** Develop clear, documented criteria for managing automated market maker pairs and exclusion lists. This helps ensure that changes are justified, transparent, and not subject to misuse.

Status – Not fixed

A.6 Function Naming Clarity for enableTrading [MEDIUM]

Description:

The function enableTrading toggles the trading status but is named as if it only enables trading, which could mislead users or developers regarding its functionality.

Listing 8: Mindx.sol

```
641     function enableTrading(bool _status) external onlyOwner {  
  
643         tradingEnabled = _status;  
644         emit enable_trading(_status);  
645     }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood – 3

Impact – 3

Recommendation:

Rename the function to `toggleTrading` to more accurately reflect that it can both enable and disable trading. This change improves clarity and reduces the potential for misuse or confusion.

Status – Not fixed

A.7 Error Handling in `taxChange` Function [MEDIUM]

Description:

The `taxChange` function uses generic error messages which do not specify the exact cause of the revert, reducing the function's usability and debuggability.

Listing 9: `Mindx.sol`

```
647     function taxChange(uint _b, uint _s) external onlyOwner {
648         if(_b > 20){
649             revert("The wrong number inputed");
650         }
651         if(_b < 0){
652             revert("The wrong number inputed");
653         }
654         if(_s > 20){
655             revert("The wrong number inputed");
656         }
657         if(_s < 0){
658             revert("The wrong number inputed");
659         }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood – 3

Impact – 3

Recommendation:

Use specific error messages for each validation check. For instance, differentiate between errors arising from the buy tax being too high, too low, or the sell tax conditions. This can be done by using messages like "Buy tax must be between 0 and 20" and "Sell tax must be between 0 and 20".

Status – Not fixed

A.8 Optimizing Share Distribution Calculations [LOW]

Description:

Shares for different stakeholders (e.g., TechTeam, Marketing) are calculated and then transferred in a way that might use extra gas due to the creation of intermediate variables.

Listing 10: Mindx.sol

```
614     _mint(owner(), 240 * 1e24); //240M
615     uint total_Supply = balanceOf(owner());

617     uint techTeam_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 5;
618     transfer(TechTeam, techTeam_share);

620     uint Marketing_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 15;
621     transfer(Marketing, Marketing_share);

623     uint CEX_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 10;
624     transfer(CEX,CEX_share);

626     transfer(PreSale,63_370_000_000_000_000_000_000);
```

```
628     transfer(Surplus,28_130_000_000_000_000_000_000);
630     uint CReward_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 10;
631     transfer(CReward,CReward_share);
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 3

Impact - 2

Recommendation:

Directly compute and transfer shares in the transfer function call to reduce gas usage, e.g.,
`transfer(TechTeam, (totalSupply() / 100) * 5)`.

Status - Not fixed

A.9 Mint vs Transfer for Initial Distribution [LOW]

Description:

The use of the transfer function for distributing shares to various stakeholders raises questions about whether the shares are being deducted from the owner or additional minting should be considered.

Listing 11: Mindx.sol

```
614     _mint(owner(), 240 * 1e24); //240M
615     uint total_Supply = balanceOf(owner());
617     uint techTeam_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 5;
618     transfer(TechTeam, techTeam_share);
620     uint Marketing_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 15;
621     transfer(Marketing, Marketing_share);
```

```

623     uint CEX_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 10;
624     transfer(CEX,CEX_share);

626     transfer(PreSale,63_370_000_000_000_000_000_000);

628     transfer(Surplus,28_130_000_000_000_000_000_000);

630     uint CReward_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 10;
631     transfer(CReward,CReward_share);

```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 3

Impact - 3

Recommendation:

Consider using the mint function for initial distributions to clearly define token allocations without impacting the owner's holdings, ensuring transparency and accuracy in token distribution.

Status - Not fixed

A.10 Improving Code Readability in Token Minting [INFORMATIONAL]

Description:

The minting of tokens uses $240 * 1e24$, which could be simplified for better readability and to avoid potential errors during code modifications.

Listing 12: Mindx.sol

```

614     _mint(owner(), 240 * 1e24); //240M

```


Risk Level:

Likelihood – 2

Impact – 1

Recommendation:

It's recommended to use 240e24 to express the minting amount, enhancing the clarity and reducing potential misunderstandings.

Status – Not fixed

A.11 Utilization of Total Supply Variable [INFORMATIONAL]

Description:

The total_Supply is redundantly calculated by getting the owner's balance post-minting, which is unnecessary since totalSupply() function provides this value inherently.

Listing 13: Mindx.sol

```
615     uint total_Supply = balanceOf(owner());
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood – 3

Impact – 2

Recommendation:

Replace balanceOf(owner()) with totalSupply() directly after minting to optimize gas costs and rely on ERC20's built-in functionality.

Status - Not fixed

A.12 Naming Clarity [INFORMATIONAL]

Description:

The mapping `_isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount` is used to determine if an address is excluded from maximum transaction checks, but its naming suggests broader or different functionality.

Listing 14: Mindx.sol

```
547 mapping(address => bool) public _isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount;
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 3

Impact - 2

Recommendation:

Rename `_isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount` to more accurately reflect its purpose, such as `_isPairAddress`, to avoid confusion and improve code readability.

Status - Not fixed

A.13 Redundant Timestamp Assignment [INFORMATIONAL]

Description:

The function assigns timestamps to `_tierTimestamp` mapping for both from and to addresses in every transaction without clear purpose or usage documented in the function.

Listing 15: Mindx.sol

```
742     _tierTimestamp[to] = block.timestamp;  
743     _tierTimestamp[from] = block.timestamp;
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2

Impact - 1

Recommendation:

Clarify the purpose of `_tierTimestamp` in the code documentation or remove this functionality if it is unused to save gas and reduce contract complexity.

Status - Not fixed

4 Best Practices

BP.1 Improving Numeric Constants Representation

Description:

Numeric constants for initial distributions to PreSale and Surplus are not using power notation which affects readability.

Code:

Listing 16: Mindx.sol

```
626     transfer(PreSale,63_370_000_000_000_000_000_000);
627
628     transfer(Surplus,28_130_000_000_000_000_000_000);
```

BP.2 Unnecessary Initialization of Taxation Variable

Description:

The variable Taxation is initialized to zero at the start of the function, which is redundant since it is conditionally set later.

Code:

Listing 17: Mindx.sol

```
702     uint Taxation = 0;
703     if (_automatedMarketMaker[from] || _automatedMarketMaker[to]) {
704         Taxation = 0;
```

5 Static Analysis (Slither)

Description:

Block Hat expanded the coverage of the specific contract areas using automated testing methodologies. Slither, a Solidity static analysis framework, was one of the tools used. Slither was run on all-scoped contracts in both text and binary formats. This tool can be used to test mathematical relationships between Solidity instances statically and variables that allow for the detection of errors or inconsistent usage of the contracts' APIs throughout the entire codebase.

Results:

```
Reentrancy in Mindx._transfer(address,address,uint256) (MindX.sol
↳ #815-880):
  External calls:
  - swapAndReward(contractTokenBalance) (MindX.sol#833)
    - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETH(tokenAmount,0,path
      ↳ ,address(this),block.timestamp) (MindX.sol#911-917)
  External calls sending eth:
  - swapAndReward(contractTokenBalance) (MindX.sol#833)
    - address(TreasuryOwner).transfer(newBalance) (MindX.sol
      ↳ #895)
  State variables written after the call(s):
  - super._transfer(from,TreasuryOwner,(amount / 100) * initial_tax
    ↳ ) (MindX.sol#865)
    - _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount (MindX.sol
      ↳ #616)
    - _balances[recipient] += amount (MindX.sol#618)
  ERC20._balances (MindX.sol#492) can be used in cross function
    ↳ reentrancies:
  - ERC20._burn(address,uint256) (MindX.sol#637-652)
  - ERC20._mint(address,uint256) (MindX.sol#625-635)
  - ERC20._transfer(address,address,uint256) (MindX.sol#600-623)
```

- ERC20.balanceOf(address) (MindX.sol#522-526)
- super._transfer(from,address(this),Taxation) (MindX.sol#873)
 - _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount (MindX.sol ↪ #616)
 - _balances[recipient] += amount (MindX.sol#618)

ERC20._balances (MindX.sol#492) can be used in cross function ↪ reentrancies:

- ERC20._burn(address,uint256) (MindX.sol#637-652)
- ERC20._mint(address,uint256) (MindX.sol#625-635)
- ERC20._transfer(address,address,uint256) (MindX.sol#600-623)
- ERC20.balanceOf(address) (MindX.sol#522-526)
- super._transfer(from,to,amount - Taxation) (MindX.sol#879)
 - _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount (MindX.sol ↪ #616)
 - _balances[recipient] += amount (MindX.sol#618)

ERC20._balances (MindX.sol#492) can be used in cross function ↪ reentrancies:

- ERC20._burn(address,uint256) (MindX.sol#637-652)
- ERC20._mint(address,uint256) (MindX.sol#625-635)
- ERC20._transfer(address,address,uint256) (MindX.sol#600-623)
- ERC20.balanceOf(address) (MindX.sol#522-526)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation> ↪ #reentrancy-vulnerabilities

INFO:Detectors:

Mindx.constructor(address) (MindX.sol#733-771) performs a multiplication ↪ on the result of a division:

- techTeam_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 5 (MindX.sol#751)

Mindx.constructor(address) (MindX.sol#733-771) performs a multiplication ↪ on the result of a division:

- Marketing_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 15 (MindX.sol#754)

Mindx.constructor(address) (MindX.sol#733-771) performs a multiplication ↪ on the result of a division:

- CEX_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 10 (MindX.sol#757)

Mindx.`constructor`(`address`) (MindX.sol#733-771) performs a multiplication
 ↪ on the result of a division:
 - `CReward_share = (total_Supply / 100) * 10` (MindX.sol#764)

Mindx.`_transfer`(`address, address, uint256`) (MindX.sol#815-880) performs a
 ↪ multiplication on the result of a division:
 - `Taxation = (amount / 100) * Taxation` (MindX.sol#870)

Mindx.`_transfer`(`address, address, uint256`) (MindX.sol#815-880) performs a
 ↪ multiplication on the result of a division:
 - `super._transfer(from, TreasuryOwner, (amount / 100) * initial_tax`
 `↪)` (MindX.sol#865)

Mindx.`swapAndReward`(`uint256`) (MindX.sol#882-901) performs a
 ↪ multiplication on the result of a division:
 - `_owner_share = (otherHalf / 100) * OwnerShare` (MindX.sol#896)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>
 ↪ #divide-before-multiply

INFO:Detectors:

Mindx.`_transfer`(`address, address, uint256`) (MindX.sol#815-880) uses a
 ↪ `dangerous` strict equality:
 - `_transactorLastblock[tx.origin] == block.number` (MindX.sol#855)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>
 ↪ #dangerous-strict-equalities

INFO:Detectors:

Mindx.`_transfer`(`address, address, uint256`) (MindX.sol#815-880) uses `tx`.
 ↪ `origin` for authorization: `_transactorLastblock[tx.origin] ==`
 ↪ `block.number` (MindX.sol#855)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>
 ↪ #dangerous-usage-of-txorigin

INFO:Detectors:

Mindx.`taxChange`(`uint256, uint256`) (MindX.sol#781-799) contains a
 ↪ tautology or contradiction:
 - `_b < 0` (MindX.sol#785)

Mindx.`taxChange`(`uint256, uint256`) (MindX.sol#781-799) contains a
 ↪ tautology or contradiction:
 - `_s < 0` (MindX.sol#791)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>
↪ #tautology-or-contradiction

INFO:Detectors:

Mindx.swapTokensForEth(uint256) (MindX.sol#903-918) ignores return value
↪ by uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETH(tokenAmount,0,path,
↪ address(this),block.timestamp) (MindX.sol#911-917)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>
↪ #unused-return

INFO:Detectors:

Reentrancy in Mindx._transfer(address,address,uint256) (MindX.sol
↪ #815-880):

External calls:

- swapAndReward(contractTokenBalance) (MindX.sol#833)
 - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETH(tokenAmount,0,path
↪ ,address(this),block.timestamp) (MindX.sol#911-917)

External calls sending eth:

- swapAndReward(contractTokenBalance) (MindX.sol#833)
 - address(TreasuryOwner).transfer(newBalance) (MindX.sol
↪ #895)

State variables written after the call(s):

- _tierTimestamp[to] = block.timestamp (MindX.sol#876)
- _tierTimestamp[from] = block.timestamp (MindX.sol#877)
- _transactorLastblock[tx.origin] = block.number (MindX.sol#867)
- isBot[from] = true (MindX.sol#858)
- isBot[to] = true (MindX.sol#860)

Reentrancy in Mindx.swapAndReward(uint256) (MindX.sol#882-901):

External calls:

- swapTokensForEth(half) (MindX.sol#890)
 - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETH(tokenAmount,0,path
↪ ,address(this),block.timestamp) (MindX.sol#911-917)

External calls sending eth:

- address(TreasuryOwner).transfer(newBalance) (MindX.sol#895)

State variables written after the call(s):


```

- super._transfer(address(this),TreasuryRevenue,_revenue_share) (
  ↳ MindX.sol#898)
  - _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount (MindX.sol
    ↳ #616)
  - _balances[recipient] += amount (MindX.sol#618)
- super._transfer(address(this),TreasuryOwner,_owner_share) (
  ↳ MindX.sol#899)
  - _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount (MindX.sol
    ↳ #616)
  - _balances[recipient] += amount (MindX.sol#618)

```

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>
 ↳ #reentrancy-vulnerabilities-2

INFO:Detectors:

Reentrancy in Mindx._transfer(address,address,uint256) (MindX.sol
 ↳ #815-880):

External calls:

```

- swapAndReward(contractTokenBalance) (MindX.sol#833)
  - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETH(tokenAmount,0,path
    ↳ ,address(this),block.timestamp) (MindX.sol#911-917)

```

External calls sending eth:

```

- swapAndReward(contractTokenBalance) (MindX.sol#833)
  - address(TreasuryOwner).transfer(newBalance) (MindX.sol
    ↳ #895)

```

Event emitted after the call(s):

```

- Transfer(sender,recipient,amount) (MindX.sol#620)
  - super._transfer(from,to,amount - Taxation) (MindX.sol
    ↳ #879)
- Transfer(sender,recipient,amount) (MindX.sol#620)
  - super._transfer(from,address(this),Taxation) (MindX.sol
    ↳ #873)
- Transfer(sender,recipient,amount) (MindX.sol#620)
  - super._transfer(from,TreasuryOwner,(amount / 100) *
    ↳ initial_tax) (MindX.sol#865)

```

Reentrancy in Mindx.swapAndReward(uint256) (MindX.sol#882-901):

External calls:

- swapTokensForEth(half) (MindX.sol#890)
 - uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETH(tokenAmount,0,path
↪ ,address(this),block.timestamp) (MindX.sol#911-917)

External calls sending eth:

- address(TreasuryOwner).transfer(newBalance) (MindX.sol#895)

Event emitted after the call(s):

- Transfer(sender,recipient,amount) (MindX.sol#620)
 - super._transfer(address(this),TreasuryOwner,_owner_share
↪) (MindX.sol#899)
- Transfer(sender,recipient,amount) (MindX.sol#620)
 - super._transfer(address(this),TreasuryRevenue,
↪ _revenue_share) (MindX.sol#898)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>
↪ #reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3

INFO:Detectors:

Mindx._transfer(address,address,uint256) (MindX.sol#815-880) uses
↪ timestamp for comparisons

Dangerous comparisons:

- require(bool,string)(initial_inject_timestamp > 0,not paired
↪ yet) (MindX.sol#843)
- require(bool,string)(block.timestamp - initial_inject_timestamp
↪ > second_buy_limit,not enabled yet) (MindX.sol#844)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>
↪ #block-timestamp

INFO:Detectors:

Address._revert(bytes,string) (MindX.sol#474-489) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (MindX.sol#482-485)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>
↪ #assembly-usage

INFO:Detectors:

Mindx._transfer(address,address,uint256) (MindX.sol#815-880) compares to
↪ a boolean constant:
-tradingEnabled == false (MindX.sol#840)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>

↪ #boolean-equality

INFO:Detectors:

Different versions of Solidity are used:

- Version used: ['^0.8.0', '^0.8.20']
- ^0.8.0 (MindX.sol#138)
- ^0.8.20 (MindX.sol#7)
- ^0.8.20 (MindX.sol#38)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>

↪ #different-pragma-directives-are-used

INFO:Detectors:

Address._revert(bytes,string) (MindX.sol#474-489) is never used and
↪ should be removed

Address.functionCall(address,bytes) (MindX.sol#333-344) is never used
↪ and should be removed

Address.functionCall(address,bytes,string) (MindX.sol#346-352) is never
↪ used and should be removed

Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256) (MindX.sol#354-366)
↪ is never used and should be removed

Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (MindX.sol
↪ #368-388) is never used and should be removed

Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes) (MindX.sol#417-427) is never
↪ used and should be removed

Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (MindX.sol#429-442)
↪ is never used and should be removed

Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes) (MindX.sol#390-400) is never
↪ used and should be removed

Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (MindX.sol#402-415) is
↪ never used and should be removed

Address.isContract(address) (MindX.sol#316-318) is never used and should
↪ be removed

Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (MindX.sol#320-331) is never used and
↪ should be removed

`Address.verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string)` (MindX.sol#462-472) **is** never used and should be removed

`Address.verifyCallResultFromTarget(address,bool,bytes,string)` (MindX.sol#444-460) **is** never used and should be removed

`Context._contextSuffixLength()` (MindX.sol#28-30) **is** never used and should be removed

`Context._msgData()` (MindX.sol#24-26) **is** never used and should be removed

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>

↳ #dead-code

INFO:Detectors:

`Pragma version^0.8.20` (MindX.sol#7) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying **with** 0.8.18.

`Pragma version^0.8.20` (MindX.sol#38) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying **with** 0.8.18.

`Pragma version^0.8.0` (MindX.sol#138) allows old versions solc-0.8.20 **is** not recommended **for** deployment

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>

↳ #incorrect-versions-of-solidity

INFO:Detectors:

Low level **call** in `Address.sendValue(address,uint256)` (MindX.sol#320-331)

↳ :

- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (MindX.sol#329)

Low level **call** in `Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string)` (MindX.sol#368-388):

- (success, returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (MindX.sol#378-380)

Low level **call** in `Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string)` (MindX.sol#402-415):

- (success, returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (MindX.sol#407)

Low level **call** in `Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string)` (MindX.sol#429-442):

- (success, returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (MindX.sol#434)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>
↳ #low-level-calls

INFO:Detectors:

Function IUniswapV2Router01.WETH() (MindX.sol#143) is not in mixedCase

Event Mindx.adding_isExcluded(address) (MindX.sol#718) is not in
↳ CapWords

Event Mindx.removing_isExcluded(address) (MindX.sol#719) is not in
↳ CapWords

Event Mindx.adding_automated(address) (MindX.sol#720) is not in CapWords

Event Mindx.removing_automated(address) (MindX.sol#721) is not in
↳ CapWords

Event Mindx.enable_trading(bool) (MindX.sol#722) is not in CapWords

Event Mindx.tax_change(uint256,uint256) (MindX.sol#723) is not in
↳ CapWords

Event Mindx.tax_Treasury(address,address) (MindX.sol#724) is not in
↳ CapWords

Event Mindx.tax_fee(uint256,uint256) (MindX.sol#725) is not in CapWords

Parameter Mindx.enableTrading(bool)._status (MindX.sol#775) is not in
↳ mixedCase

Parameter Mindx.taxChange(uint256,uint256)._b (MindX.sol#781) is not in
↳ mixedCase

Parameter Mindx.taxChange(uint256,uint256)._s (MindX.sol#781) is not in
↳ mixedCase

Parameter Mindx.divAdress(address,address)._tr (MindX.sol#802) is not in
↳ mixedCase

Parameter Mindx.divAdress(address,address)._to (MindX.sol#802) is not in
↳ mixedCase

Function Mindx.adding_isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount(address) (MindX.sol
↳ #919-925) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Mindx.adding_isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount(address)._a (MindX
↳ .sol#919) is not in mixedCase

Function Mindx.removing_isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount(address) (MindX.
↳ sol#927-930) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Mindx.removing_isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount(address)._a (MindX.sol#927) is not in mixedCase

Function Mindx.adding_automatedMarketMakerPairs(address) (MindX.sol#932-935) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Mindx.adding_automatedMarketMakerPairs(address)._a (MindX.sol#932) is not in mixedCase

Function Mindx.removing_automatedMarketMakerPairs(address) (MindX.sol#937-940) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Mindx.removing_automatedMarketMakerPairs(address)._a (MindX.sol#937) is not in mixedCase

Function Mindx.BurnDevToken(uint256) (MindX.sol#946-948) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Mindx.setSwapAndLiquifyEnabled(bool)._enabled (MindX.sol#950) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx._isExcludedMaxTransactionAmount (MindX.sol#681) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx._automatedMarketMaker (MindX.sol#682) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.RevenueShare (MindX.sol#685) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.OwnerShare (MindX.sol#686) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.initial_tax (MindX.sol#689) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.second_buy_limit (MindX.sol#695) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.initial_inject_timestamp (MindX.sol#696) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.TechTeam (MindX.sol#697) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.TreasuryRevenue (MindX.sol#698) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.TreasuryOwner (MindX.sol#699) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.Marketing (MindX.sol#700) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.CEX (MindX.sol#701) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.PreSale (MindX.sol#702) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.CReward (MindX.sol#703) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx.Surplus (MindX.sol#704) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx._tierTimestamp (MindX.sol#706) is not in mixedCase

Variable Mindx._transactorLastblock (MindX.sol#708) is not in mixedCase

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation>

↪ #conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions

INFO:Detectors:

Reentrancy in Mindx._transfer(address,address,uint256) (MindX.sol

↪ #815-880):

External calls:

- swapAndReward(contractTokenBalance) (MindX.sol#833)
 - address(TreasuryOwner).transfer(newBalance) (MindX.sol
↪ #895)

State variables written after the call(s):

- super._transfer(from,TreasuryOwner,(amount / 100) * initial_tax
↪) (MindX.sol#865)
 - _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount (MindX.sol
↪ #616)
 - _balances[recipient] += amount (MindX.sol#618)
 - super._transfer(from,address(this),Taxation) (MindX.sol#873)
 - _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount (MindX.sol
↪ #616)
 - _balances[recipient] += amount (MindX.sol#618)
 - super._transfer(from,to,amount - Taxation) (MindX.sol#879)
 - _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount (MindX.sol
↪ #616)
 - _balances[recipient] += amount (MindX.sol#618)
 - _tierTimestamp[to] = block.timestamp (MindX.sol#876)
 - _tierTimestamp[from] = block.timestamp (MindX.sol#877)
 - _transactorLastblock[tx.origin] = block.number (MindX.sol#867)
 - isBot[from] = true (MindX.sol#858)
 - isBot[to] = true (MindX.sol#860)
- Event emitted after the call(s):
- Transfer(sender,recipient,amount) (MindX.sol#620)
 - super._transfer(from,to,amount - Taxation) (MindX.sol
↪ #879)
 - Transfer(sender,recipient,amount) (MindX.sol#620)

```

- super._transfer(from,address(this),Taxation) (MindX.sol
  ↪ #873)
- Transfer(sender,recipient,amount) (MindX.sol#620)
  - super._transfer(from,TreasuryOwner,(amount / 100) *
    ↪ initial_tax) (MindX.sol#865)
Reentrancy in Mindx.swapAndReward(uint256) (MindX.sol#882-901):
External calls:
- address(TreasuryOwner).transfer(newBalance) (MindX.sol#895)
State variables written after the call(s):
- super._transfer(address(this),TreasuryRevenue,_revenue_share) (
  ↪ MindX.sol#898)
  - _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount (MindX.sol
    ↪ #616)
  - _balances[recipient] += amount (MindX.sol#618)
- super._transfer(address(this),TreasuryOwner,_owner_share) (
  ↪ MindX.sol#899)
  - _balances[sender] = senderBalance - amount (MindX.sol
    ↪ #616)
  - _balances[recipient] += amount (MindX.sol#618)
Event emitted after the call(s):
- Transfer(sender,recipient,amount) (MindX.sol#620)
  - super._transfer(address(this),TreasuryOwner,_owner_share
    ↪ ) (MindX.sol#899)
- Transfer(sender,recipient,amount) (MindX.sol#620)
  - super._transfer(address(this),TreasuryRevenue,
    ↪ _revenue_share) (MindX.sol#898)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation
  ↪ #reentrancy-vulnerabilities-4
INFO:Detectors:
Variable IUniswapV2Router01.addLiquidity(address,address,uint256,uint256
  ↪ ,uint256,uint256,address,uint256).amountADesired (MindX.sol#148)
  ↪ is too similar to IUniswapV2Router01.addLiquidity(address,address
  ↪ ,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256).amountBDesired
  ↪ (MindX.sol#149)

```



```
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation
↳ #variable-names-too-similar
INFO:Detectors:
Mindx.swapping (MindX.sol#687) is never used in Mindx (MindX.sol
↳ #678-954)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation
↳ #unused-state-variable
INFO:Detectors:
Mindx.OwnerShare (MindX.sol#686) should be constant
Mindx.RevenueShare (MindX.sol#685) should be constant
Mindx.initial_tax (MindX.sol#689) should be constant
Mindx.numTokensSellToShareRevenue (MindX.sol#694) should be constant
Mindx.second_buy_limit (MindX.sol#695) should be constant
Mindx.swapping (MindX.sol#687) should be constant
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation
↳ #state-variables-that-could-be-declared-constant
INFO:Detectors:
Mindx.uniswapV2Router (MindX.sol#693) should be immutable
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation
↳ #state-variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable
INFO:Slither:. analyzed (9 contracts with 94 detectors), 96 result(s)
↳ found
```

Conclusion:

Most of the vulnerabilities found by the analysis have already been addressed by the smart contract code review.

6 Conclusion

We examined the design and implementation of MindX in this audit and found several issues of various severities. We advise mindx team to implement the recommendations contained in all 13 of our findings to further enhance the code's security. It is of utmost priority to start by addressing the most severe exploit discovered by the auditors then followed by the remaining exploits, and finally we will be conducting a re-audit following the implementation of the remediation plan contained in this report.

We would much appreciate any constructive feedback or suggestions regarding our methodology, audit findings, or potential scope gaps in this report.



BLOCKHAT

SECURITY

For a Smart Contract Audit, contact us at contact@blockhat.io